UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,498	05/04/2005	Jesus Angel de Gregorio Rodriguez	4020-3	1556
	7590 12/01/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	ZEWARI, SAYED T		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/510,498	GREGORIO RODRIGUEZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAYED T. ZEWARI	2617		

	SAYED T. ZEWARI	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliand	ivit, or other evidence, we e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ing date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou nortened statutory period for reply o	nt of the fee. The appropria iginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co	sideration and/or search (see N v); er form for appeal by materially	OTE below); reducing or simplifying tl				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the complex of						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		vill be entered and an e	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit are attentioned in a standard American in a standard in the sta	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail: See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> M The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/S. T. Z./ Examiner, Art Unit 26	7				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not amended the claims in such a way to make them narrow and more specific. Therefore the previously applied references are still applicable. Applicant's arguments are fully considered but still they are based on previously presented arguments arguing that Ala-Laurila does not disclose authenticating before allocations of IP address. Examiner does not agree with applicant's interpretations. Ala-Laurila, in section [0024], discloses "Before the terminal MT is allowed to establish a connection with other networks than the network WLAN, the authentication must be performed in an acceptable manner." This, contrary to applicant's argument, suggests that MT establishes connection with IP network only after authentication..